

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JUL 24 AM 9:57

TO: ☒ U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / ☐ U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE Gonzalez DISTRICT COURT
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/22/2008
CASE NO.: 08cv0904 IEG (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Rm DEPUTY
CASE TITLE: Moore v. Calipatria State Prison, et al
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Order to Cause for a Injunction a Temporary Restraining Order

Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Local Rule	Discrepancy
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
<input type="checkbox"/> 5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
<input type="checkbox"/> 7.1	Missing table of contents
<input type="checkbox"/> 15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
<input type="checkbox"/> 30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Supplemental documents require court order
<input type="checkbox"/>	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	<u>OTHER: Motion already on file and denied (Docket #13). To re-open case, Plaintiff must comply w/Ct's Order dated 7/21/08.</u>

Date forwarded: 7/23/2008

ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- ☐ The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
- ☒ The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.

Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? ☐ Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers ☐

Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1

CHAMBERS OF: Tudy Gonzalez

Dated: 7/23/08
cc: All Parties

By: KMB, PSLC

For the Southern District of California

Gary B Moore
Plaintiff

Order To
Cause For A

REJECTED

Preliminary

v

Sgt Catlett

T. Ochoa

J. Anaya

N. GRANWIS

Defendants

Injunction

A Temporary

Restraining

order

Civil Action NO. 08-0904 IEG (NLS)

Upon the Complaint, the Supporting affidavits of plaintiffs, and the memorandum of law submitted herewith, it is:

Ordered, that defendants, [Sgt. Catlett, T. Ochoa, J. Anaya, N. GRANWIS] show cause in room of United States Courthouse 880 Front Street, San Diego, CA. 92101-8900 on the day of , 2008, at o'clock, why a preliminary injunction should not issue pursuant to Rule 65(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure enjoining the defendants, their successors in office, agents and employees and all other persons acting in concert and participation with them, from California

It is Further Ordered that effective immediately, and pending the hearing and determination of this order to show cause, the defendants [Calipatria State Prison, T. Ochoa, J. Anaya, N. GRANWIS] and each of their officers, agents, employees and all persons acting in concert or participation with them,